Formal Verification of High-Level Synthesis

Yann Herklotz, James D. Pollard, Nadesh Ramanathan, John Wickerson







Example

Verification

Results

The Need to Design Hardware Accelerators

Hardware accelerators are needed more and more industries.

• Using a **CPU** everywhere not always the best choice.



Hardware accelerators are needed more and more industries.

- Using a **CPU** everywhere not always the best choice.
- Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) provide a good alternative.



Hardware accelerators are needed more and more industries.

- Using a **CPU** everywhere not always the best choice.
- Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) provide a good alternative.
- FPGAs act as **reprogrammable hardware**, therefore can be made application specific.



Where does the flexibility of FPGAs come from?

• FPGA's are programmable circuits with two main components.



Where does the flexibility of FPGAs come from?

- FPGA's are programmable circuits with two main components.
- Look up tables (LUTs) provide flexible logic gates. They are connected by configurable switches.



Where does the flexibility of FPGAs come from?

- FPGA's are programmable circuits with two main components.
- Look up tables (LUTs) provide flexible logic gates. They are connected by configurable switches.
- **BRAMs** provide accessible storage.



• FPGAs contain **LUTs** and programmable interconnects.



- FPGAs contain **LUTs** and programmable interconnects.
- Programmed using hardware description languages.





⁷ Long to design



- FPGAs contain LUTs and programmable interconnects.
- Programmed using hardware description languages.
- Simulation guite slow.





⁷ Long to design



- FPGAs contain **LUTs** and programmable interconnects.
- Programmed using hardware description languages.
- Simulation quite slow.
- High-Level Synthesis is an alternative.





- FPGAs contain **LUTs** and programmable interconnects.
- Programmed using hardware description languages.
- Simulation quite slow.
- High-Level Synthesis is an alternative.
- Faster testing through execution.

1 Quick to design





Difficult to debug HLS tools:

- Simulation can take a long time.
- Correctness is important in hardware, testing is done at every level.

Difficult to debug HLS tools:

- Simulation can take a long time.
- Correctness is important in hardware, testing is done at every level.

High-level synthesis is often quite unreliable:

- Intel's OpenCL could not be fuzzed because of too many issues (Lidbury et al. [2015]).
- We fuzzed HLS tools (Herklotz et al. [2021]) and found they failed on **2.5%** of simple random test cases.

Solution



Use CompCert, a fully verified C compiler, and add an HLS backend.

Solution



Support for: all **control flow**, **fixedpoint**, **non-recursive functions** and **local arrays/structs/unions**.



Example

Verification

Results

```
int main() {
    int x[2] = {3, 6};
    int i = 1;
    return x[i];
}
```

Example of a very simple program performing loads and stores.

- three address code (3AC) instructions are represented as a control-flow graph (CFG)
- Each instruction links to the next one.

```
main() {
    x5 = 3
    int32[stack(0)] = x5
    x4 = 6
    int32[stack(4)] = x4
    x1 = 1
    x3 = stack(0) (int)
    x^{2} = int^{3}x^{3} + x^{1} + 0
    return x2
```

}

The representation of the **finite state-machine with datapath** is abstract and called **HTL**.

Definition datapath := $\mathbb{Z}^+ \mapsto \text{Verilog.stmnt}$ **Definition** controllogic := $\mathbb{Z}^+ \mapsto \text{Verilog.stmnt}$

The representation of the **finite state-machine with datapath** is abstract and called **HTL**.

```
Definition datapath := \mathbb{Z}^+ \mapsto \text{Verilog.stmnt}
Definition controllogic := \mathbb{Z}^+ \mapsto \text{Verilog.stmnt}
```

```
Record module: Type := mkmodule {
    mod_datapath: datapath;
    mod_controllogic: controllogic;
    mod_reset: reg;
    mod_ram: ram_spec;
    ...
}.
```

```
Imperial College
London
```

• Control-flow is translated into a finite state-machine.

- Control-flow is translated into a finite state-machine.
- Each **3AC instructions** translated into equivalent **Verilog statements**.

- Control-flow is translated into a finite state-machine.
- Each **3AC instructions** translated into equivalent **Verilog statements**.
- Function **stack** implemented as **Verilog array**.

- Control-flow is translated into a finite state-machine.
- Each **3AC instructions** translated into equivalent **Verilog statements**.
- Function **stack** implemented as **Verilog array**.
- Pointers for loads and stores translated to array addresses.

- Control-flow is translated into a finite state-machine.
- Each **3AC instructions** translated into equivalent **Verilog statements**.
- Function **stack** implemented as **Verilog array**.
- Pointers for loads and stores translated to array addresses.
 - Byte addressed to word addressed.

Example: Memory Inference Pass

- An HTL \rightarrow HTL translation removes loads and stores.
- Replaced by accesses to a proper **RAM**.

stack[{{{reg_5 + 32'd0} + {reg_1 * 32'd4}} / 32'd4}]

becomes

Verilog Syntax

```
always @(posedge clk) begin
  reg1 <= in1;
end</pre>
```

```
always @(posedge clk) begin
    tmp = reg1;
    out1 <= tmp;
    end
endmodule</pre>
```

Imperial College London • Verilog example for a simple shift register.

Verilog Syntax

```
always @(posedge clk) begin
  reg1 <= in1;
end</pre>
```

always @(posedge	clk)	begin	
tmp = reg1;			
out1 <= tmp;			
end			
ndmodule			

Imperial College London

e

- Verilog example for a simple shift register.
- Always block run in parallel

```
module main(reset, clk, finish, return_val);
 input [0:0] reset. clk:
  output reg [0:0] finish = 0;
  output reg [31:0] return_val = 0;
  reg [31:0] reg_3 = 0, addr = 0, d_in = 0,
             reg_5 = 0, wr_en = 0,
             state = 0, reg_2 = 0,
             rea 4 = 0. d out = 0. rea 1 = 0:
  reg [0:0] en = 0, u_en = 0;
  reg [31:0] stack [1:0]:
 // RAM interface
  always @(negedge clk)
   if ({u_en != en}) begin
     if (wr_en) stack[addr] <= d_in:</pre>
      else d out <= stack[addr]:</pre>
      en <= u_en:
    end
```

• Finally, translate the FSMD into Verilog.

```
module main(reset, clk, finish, return_val);
  input [0:0] reset, clk;
  output reg [0:0] finish = 0;
  output reg [31:0] return_val = 0;
  reg [31:0] reg_3 = 0, addr = 0, d_in = 0,
             reg_5 = 0, wr_en = 0,
             state = 0. reg 2 = 0.
             reg_4 = 0, d_out = 0, reg_1 = 0;
  reg [0:0] en = 0, u_en = 0;
  reg [31:0] stack [1:0];
  // RAM interface
  always @(negedge clk)
   if ({u_en != en}) begin
      if (wr_en) stack[addr] <= d_in;</pre>
      else d out <= stack[addr]:</pre>
      en <= u en:
   end
```

- Finally, translate the FSMD into Verilog.
- This includes a RAM interface.

```
// Data-path
always @(posedge clk)
 case (state)
    32'd11: reg_2 <= d_out;
    32'd8: reg 5 <= 32'd3:
   32'd7: begin
     u_en <= ( ~ u_en); wr_en <= 32'd1;
     d in <= reg 5: addr <= 32'd0:
    end
    32'd6: reg_4 <= 32'd6;
    32'd5: begin
     u en <= ( ~ u en): wr en <= 32'd1:
      d in <= reg 4: addr <= 32'd1:
    end
    32'd4: reg 1 <= 32'd1:
    32'd3: reg_3 <= 32'd0:
    32'd2: begin
      u en <= (~u en); wr en <= 32'd0;
      addr <= {{{reg_3 + 32'd0} + {reg_1 * 32'd4}} / 32'd4};
    end
    32'd1: begin finish = 32'd1; return_val = req_2: end
    default: :
  endcase
```

- Finally, translate the FSMD into Verilog.
- This includes a RAM interface.
- Data path is translated into a case statement.

```
// Data-path
always @(posedge clk)
  case (state)
    32'd11: reg_2 <= d_out;
    32'd8: reg_5 <= 32'd3:
    32'd7: begin
      u_en <= ( ~ u_en); wr_en <= 32'd1;
     d in <= reg 5: addr <= 32'd0:
    end
    32'd6: reg_4 <= 32'd6:
    32'd5: begin
      u_en <= ( ~ u_en); wr_en <= 32'd1;
     d in <= reg 4: addr <= 32'd1:
    end
    32'd4: rea_1 <= 32'd1:
    32'd3: reg_3 <= 32'd0:
    32'd2: begin
      u_en <= ( ~ u_en): wr_en <= 32'd0:
      addr <= {{{reg_3 + 32'd0} + {reg_1 * 32'd4}} / 32'd4};
    end
    32'd1: begin finish = 32'd1; return_val = reg_2; end
    default: :
  endcase
```

- Finally, translate the FSMD into Verilog.
- This includes a RAM interface.
- Data path is translated into a case statement.
- Ram loads and stores automatically turn off RAM.

```
// Control logic
  always @(posedge clk)
   if ({reset == 32'd1}) state <= 32'd8:
    else case (state)
           32'd11: state <= 32'd1:
                                          32'd4: state <= 32'd3:
           32'd8: state <= 32'd7:
                                          32'd3: state <= 32'd2:
           32'd7: state <= 32'd6:
                                          32'd2: state <= 32'd11:
           32'd6: state <= 32'd5:
                                          32'd1
           32'd5: state <= 32'd4:
                                          default: :
         ondcaso
endmodule
```

Imperial College

- Finally, translate the FSMD into Verilog.
- This includes a RAM interface.
- Data path is translated into a case statement.
- Ram loads and stores automatically turn off RAM.
- Control logic is translated into another case statement with a reset.

14



Example

Verification

Results

Verilog Semantics (Adapted from Lööw et al. (2019))

• Top-level semantics are small-step operational semantics.



Verilog Semantics (Adapted from Lööw et al. (2019))

• Top-level semantics are small-step operational semantics.



• At each clock tick, the **whole module** is executed using **big-step semantics**.



How do we prove the HLS tool correct?

- We have an **algorithm** describing the **translation**.
- Have to **prove** that it does not change **behaviour** with respect to our language semantics.

How do we prove the HLS tool correct?

- We have an **algorithm** describing the **translation**.
- Have to **prove** that it does not change **behaviour** with respect to our language semantics.

Behaviour	Guarantee
Converging	Means a result is obtained, Verilog and C results must be equal.
Diverging	C is in an infinite loop, Verilog must execute indefi- nitely.
Wrong	Such as undefined behaviour, no guarantees need to be shown.

-

Translation of memory model

Abstract/infinite memory model translated into concrete/finite RAM.

Translation of memory model

Abstract/infinite memory model translated into concrete/finite RAM.

Integration of Verilog Semantics

- Verilog semantics differs from CompCert's main assumptions of intermediate language semantics.
- Abstract values like the **program counter** now correspond to **values in registers**.



Example

Verification

Results

The bad news: with division approximately $27 \times$ slower



The better news: without division about $\mathbf{2} \times$ slower

Imperial College London



21

Fuzzing Vericert with Csmith

Fuzzed Vericert with Csmith to check correctness theorem.

Tool	Run-time errors
Vivado HLS	1.23%
Intel i++	0.4%
LegUp 4.0	0.1%
Bambu 0.9.7-dev	0.3% (13.7%)

Fuzzing Vericert with Csmith

Fuzzed Vericert with Csmith to check correctness theorem.

Tool	Run-time errors
Vivado HLS	1.23%
Intel i++	0.4%
LegUp 4.0	0.1%
Bambu 0.9.7-dev	0.3% (13.7%)
Vericert	0% (0.03%)

Written a formally verified high-level synthesis tool in **Coq** based on **CompCert**.

• HLS tool **proven correct in Coq** by proving translation of CFG into FSMD.

Written a formally verified high-level synthesis tool in **Coq** based on **CompCert**.

- HLS tool **proven correct in Coq** by proving translation of CFG into FSMD.
- Small optimisations implemented such as **Ram Inference**.

Written a formally verified high-level synthesis tool in **Coq** based on **CompCert**.

- HLS tool **proven correct in Coq** by proving translation of CFG into FSMD.
- Small optimisations implemented such as **Ram Inference**.
- Performance without divisions comparable to LegUp without optimisations.

Thank you

Documentation



GitHub

https://vericert.ymhg.org

https://github.com/ymherklotz/vericert

OOPSLA'21 Preprint



https://ymhg.org/papers/fvhls_oopsla21.pdf

Yann Herklotz, Zewei Du, Nadesh Ramanathan, and John Wickerson. An empirical study of the reliability of high-level synthesis tools. In 2021 IEEE 29th Annual International Symposium on Field-Programmable Custom Computing Machines (FCCM), pages 219–223, 2021. doi: 10.1109/FCCM51124.2021.00034.

Christopher Lidbury, Andrei Lascu, Nathan Chong, and Alastair F. Donaldson. Many-core compiler fuzzing. In Proc. of the 36th ACM SIGPLAN Conf. on Programming Language Design and Implementation, PLDI '15. ACM, 2015. doi: 10.1145/2737924.2737986.